

ON HIGHER SYZYGIES OF RULED VARIETIES OVER A CURVE

EUISUNG PARK

ABSTRACT. For a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $n + 1$ over a smooth projective curve C of genus g , let $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ with projection map $\pi : X \rightarrow C$. In this paper we investigate the minimal free resolution of homogeneous coordinate rings of X .

We first clarify the relations between higher syzygies of very ample line bundles on X and higher syzygies of Veronese embedding of fibres of π by the same line bundle. More precisely, letting $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ be the tautological line bundle, we prove that if $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p , then $(X, aH + \pi^*B)$ satisfies Property N_p for all $B \in \text{Pic}C$ having sufficiently large degree(Theorem 1.1). And also the effective bound of $\deg(B)$ for Property N_p is obtained(Theorem 4.2, 4.5, 4.7 and 4.9). For the converse, we get some partial answer(Corollary 3.3). Secondly, by using these results we prove some Mukai-type statements. In particular, Mukai's conjecture is true for X when $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) \geq g$ and $\mu^-(\mathcal{E})$ is an integer(Corollary 4.11). Finally for all n , we construct an n -dimensional ruled variety X and an ample line bundle $A \in \text{Pic}X$ which shows that the condition of Mukai's conjecture is optimal for every $p \geq 0$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation and Preliminaries	4
3. Veronese Embedding and Property N_p of Ruled Varieties	7
4. Property N_p of Ruled Varieties over a Curve	11
5. Optimality of the condition of Mukai's conjecture	21
References	23

1. INTRODUCTION

For a projective variety X and a very ample line bundle L on X , the equations defining $X \subset \mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$ and the higher syzygies among them have been studied by several authors([1], [4], [5], [6], [7], [12], [14], etc). Classical results is about the numerical and cohomological conditions to guarantee that X is projectively normal or cut out by quadrics. Nowadays this problem is generalized to higher syzygies. We recall the notion of Property N_p introduced by M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld[7]. Let S be the homogeneous coordinate ring of $\mathbb{P}$, $I_X \subseteq S$ the homogeneous ideal of X , and $S(X) = S/I_X$ the homogeneous coordinate ring of X . For the graded S -module $E := \bigoplus_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}} H^0(X, L^\ell)$, let us consider the minimal free resolution:

$$\cdots \rightarrow L_i \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_1 \rightarrow L_0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0$$

Supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2002-070-C00003).

where L_i , as free graded S -module, can be written as

$$L_i = \bigoplus_j S^{k_{i,j}}(-i-j).$$

M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld[7] considered the situations in which the first few modules of syzygies of E are as simple as possible:

Definition 1.1. *For a nonnegative integer p , L is said to satisfy Property N_p if $k_{i,j} = 0$ for $0 \leq i \leq p$ and $j \geq 2$. Equivalently, Property N_p holds for $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}$ if E admits a minimal free resolution of the form*

$$\cdots \rightarrow S^{k_{p,1}}(-p-1) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow S^{k_{2,1}}(-3) \rightarrow S^{k_{1,1}}(-2) \rightarrow S \rightarrow E \rightarrow 0.$$

So, remark that L satisfies Property N_0 if and only if L is normally generated (i.e., projectively normal), L satisfies Property N_1 if and only if L satisfies Property N_0 and the homogeneous ideal is generated by quadrics, L satisfies Property N_2 if and only if L satisfies Property N_1 and the relations among the quadrics are generated by the linear relations and so on.

Remark 1.1. Given a projective variety X and a very ample line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}X$, it was expected that if L is more and more “positive” then the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$ is more and more “regular”. And several results indicate that the “regularity” should be Property N_p . See Theorem 3.2 in [6]. $\square$

Remark 1.2. Let L be a very ample line bundle with $H^1(X, L^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 2$. Recently Sijong Kwak and the author proved in [11] that if L satisfies Property N_p , then every embedding given by a very ample subsystem in $H^0(X, L)$ of codimension $c \leq p-1$ satisfies k -normality for all $k \geq c+1$ and the homogeneous ideal is generated by forms of degree $\leq c+2$. In this sense, Property N_p is also very important in classical projective geometry. $\square$

The first effective result in this field is M. Green’s theorem for curves. In [5], he proved that when C is a smooth curve of genus g and $\deg L \geq 2g+1+p$, then (C, L) satisfies Property N_p . Then Shigeru Mukai suggests what form a statement should take for higher dimensional varieties. He observed that one could view Green’s Theorem as asserting that if D is an ample bundle on C , then Property N_p holds for $K_C + (p+3)D$. This leads him to conjecture that for a smooth projective variety X of dimension n and an ample line bundle $A \in \text{Pic}X$, $K_X + (n+2+p)A$ satisfies Property N_p . Nowadays his conjecture is a popular guiding principle for studying higher syzygies of arbitrary varieties and many related remarkable research have been developed.

In this paper we study Property N_p of very ample line bundles on ruled varieties over a curve. Although Mukai’s conjecture suggests a guideline to study higher syzygies for arbitrary varieties, it should be a more natural question that for a given very ample line bundle L on a smooth projective variety X , determine the largest p such that Property N_p holds for L . And our first result gives a partial answer for this question

when X is a ruled variety over a curve (Theorem 1.1). Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g . For a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of rank $n + 1$ over C , let $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ be the associated projective space bundle with tautological line bundle $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ and projection map $\pi : X \rightarrow C$. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Pic}X = \mathbb{Z}[H] \oplus \pi^*\mathrm{Pic}C$$

and every line bundle is written by $aH + \pi^*B$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $B \in \mathrm{Pic}C$. If $L = aH + \pi^*B$ is a very ample line bundle, then the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$ is a fiberwise a -uple Veronese embedding. Thus it seems natural to investigate algebraic relations between the a -uple Veronese embedding $\mathbb{P}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ and the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$. Along this point of view we prove

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p for some $p \geq 0$. Then there is an integer $s(a, p)$ such that $(X, aH + \pi^*B)$ satisfies Property N_p for any $B \in \mathrm{Pic}C$ such that $\deg(B) \geq s(a, p)$.*

The key idea is that if the fibre $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p , then Property N_p of $aH + \pi^*B$ can be checked by the vanishing of some cohomology groups on C . For the converse it may be expected that if $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ fails to satisfy Property N_p then $(X, aH + \pi^*B)$ also fails to satisfy Property N_p no matter how large $\deg(B)$ is. At least for $C \times \mathbb{P}^n$ (i.e. $\mathcal{E} = \oplus^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_C$), this is true by Elena Rubei's result [14]. For arbitrary $\mathcal{E}$ we have a partial answer. First we recall known results about higher syzygies of Veronese embedding. For details, see [12].

- (1) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ satisfies Property N_d .
- (2) $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ satisfies Property N_p for all $p \geq 0$ if and only if $n = 1$ or $a = 1$ or $n = a = 2$.
- (3) For $n \geq 3$, $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2))$ satisfies Property N_p if and only if $p \leq 5$.
- (4) For $d \geq 3$, $(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(d))$ satisfies Property N_p if and only if $p \leq 3d - 3$.
- (5) $(\mathbb{P}^3, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^3}(3))$ satisfies Property N_p if and only if $p \leq 6$.
- (6) For $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$, $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ fails to satisfy Property N_{3d-2} .

$a \setminus n$	1	2	3
1			
2	Property N_p holds for all $p \geq 0$.		Property N_p holds if and only if $p \leq 5$.
3			Property N_a holds.
4			Property N_p fails to hold
$\vdots$			for all $p \geq 3d - 2$.

TABLE 1. Property N_p for $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$

See [15] for the proof that $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(3))$ satisfies Property N_4 . Also G. Ottaviani and R. Paoletti conjectured that for $n \geq 2$ and $d \geq 3$, $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d))$ satisfies Property N_p if and only if $p \leq 3d - 3$ [12]. As an analogue of (3) and (6), we prove a partial converse of Theorem 1.1. Indeed it is induced immediately from D. Eisenbud, M. Green, K. Hulek and S. Popescu's recent work in [3]. See Theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3.

By Theorem 1.1, if $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p then it is natural to find a bound of $s(a, p)$. And Theorem 4.2(ruled scrolls), Theorem 4.5(ruled surfaces), Theorem 4.7(Veronese surface fibrations) and Theorem 4.9(arbitrary cases) are devoted to compute a concrete bound of $s(a, p)$. Also see Corollary 4.4, Corollary 4.6 and Corollary 4.10. Our bounds are optimal when $g \leq 2$ as discussed in Example 4.1.1 and Example 4.4.1. The results are stated in terms of the minimal slope $\mu^-(p_*L)$ of the vector bundle π_*L . The minimal slope is a natural invariant to measure the positivity of line bundles on X . Thus Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.7 imply that when $a = 1$ or $n = 1$ or $n = a = 2$ (equivalently when $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p for all $p \geq 0$), the minimal free resolution of the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$ is more and more simple as the vector bundle π_*L is more and more “positive”. Also by applying Theorem 4.9 we obtain a Mukai type result for X . See Corollary 4.11. In particular we prove that if $\text{rank}(\mathcal{E}) \geq g$ and $\mu^-(\mathcal{E})$ is an integer, then Mukai’s conjecture is true for X .

Although Mukai’s conjecture is still open even for ruled varieties over a curve, it seems worthwhile to consider the optimality of the statement. With this end in view, we construct some interesting examples. Indeed for all $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq 0$ we construct an n -dimensional ruled variety X over a curve and an ample line bundle $A \in \text{Pic}X$ such that for any $p \geq 0$, $(X, K_X + (n + 2 + p)A)$ fails to satisfy Property N_{p+1} .

We should point out that higher syzygies of ruled varieties over a curve are already investigated by David C. Butler[1].

Theorem 1.2 (David C. Butler, [1]). *For a rank n vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over C a smooth projective curve of genus g , let $X = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$ with tautological line bundle H and projection map $\pi : X \rightarrow C$. Put $L = aH + \pi^*B$ where $a \geq 1$ and $B \in \text{Pic}C$).*

- (i) *If $\mu^-(\pi_*L) \geq 2g + 1$, then (X, L) is normally generated.*
- (ii) *Fix an integer $1 \leq p \leq a - 1$. If $\mu^-(\pi_*L) \geq 2g + 2p$, then Property N_p holds for (X, L) .*
- (iii) *If A_i is an ample line bundles on X , then $(X, K_X + A_1 + \cdots + A_t)$ satisfies Property N_p for all $t \geq 2n + 2np$.*

The organization of this paper is as follows. In §2, we review some necessary elementary facts about vector bundles over a curve, regularity over ruled varieties over a variety of arbitrary dimension, Bott formula, etc. In §3, we explain that the relation between higher syzygies of very ample line bundles on ruled varieties and those of the Veronese embedding as fibres of π . Then we prove Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 3.3. §4 is devoted to prove some results about Property N_p of ruled varieties over a curve. Finally in §5 we produce some interesting examples which shows that the numerical condition of Mukai’s conjecture is optimal.

2. NOTATION AND PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notations. Throughout this paper the following is assumed.

1. All varieties are defined over the complex number field $\mathbb{C}$.
2. For a finite dimensional $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space V , $\mathbb{P}(V)$ is the projective space of one-dimensional quotients of V .

3. When a projective variety X is embedded in a projective space $\mathbb{P}^r$ by a very ample line bundle L on it, we may write $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ instead of L so long as no confusion arise.

2.2. The slope of vector bundles over a curve. Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g . For a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ on C , the slope $\mu(\mathcal{F})$ is defined by $\deg(\mathcal{F})/\text{rank}(\mathcal{F})$. Also the maximal slope and the minimal slope are defined as follows:

$$\mu^+(\mathcal{F}) = \max\{\mu(S) | 0 \rightarrow S \rightarrow \mathcal{F}\} \text{ and } \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) = \min\{\mu(Q) | \mathcal{F} \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 0\}$$

These notions satisfy the following properties.

Lemma 2.1. *For vector bundles $\mathcal{E}$, $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ on C ,*

- (1) $\mu^+(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = \mu^+(\mathcal{E}) + \mu^+(\mathcal{F})$.
- (2) $\mu^-(\mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{F}) = \mu^-(\mathcal{E}) + \mu^-(\mathcal{F})$.
- (3) $\mu^+(S^\ell(\mathcal{E})) = \ell\mu^+(\mathcal{E})$.
- (4) $\mu^-(S^\ell(\mathcal{E})) = \ell\mu^-(\mathcal{E})$.
- (5) $\mu^-(\wedge^\ell \mathcal{E}) \geq \ell\mu^-(\mathcal{E})$.
- (6) *If $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) > 2g - 2$, then $h^1(C, \mathcal{E}) = 0$.*
- (7) *If $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) > 2g - 1$, then $\mathcal{E}$ is globally generated.*
- (8) *If $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) > 2g$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ is very ample.*
- (9) *If $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence, then*

$$\mu^-(\mathcal{G}) \geq \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \geq \min\{\mu^-(\mathcal{E}), \mu^-(\mathcal{G})\}.$$

Proof. See §1 and §2 in [1]. □

Therefore if $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) > 2g - 1$, then the evaluation map determines the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{E}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

And David C. Butler obtained the following very useful result:

Theorem 2.2 (David C. Butler, [1]). *For a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ over C , if $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2g$, then $M_{\mathcal{E}}$ satisfies*

$$\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{E}}) \geq -\frac{\mu^-(\mathcal{E})}{\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) - g}.$$

Remark 3.1. Clearly $\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{E}}) \leq \mu(M_{\mathcal{E}}) < 0$. Indeed, since $\det(M_{\mathcal{E}}) = -\det(\mathcal{E}) < 0$,

$$\mu(M_{\mathcal{E}}) = \det(M_{\mathcal{E}})/\text{rank}(M_{\mathcal{E}}) < 0.$$

This fact will be used in several inequalities. □

Finally we recall Miyaoka's criterion for ampleness of line bundles on ruled varieties over a curve.

Lemma 2.3 (Y. Miyaoka). *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle on C , and let $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ with $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. Then $aH + \pi^*B \in \text{Pic}X$ is ample if and only if $a \geq 1$ and $a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) + \deg(B) > 0$.*

Proof. See Lemma 5.3 in [1]. □

2.3. Regularity of vector bundles over ruled varieties. We recall some basic facts about the regularity of vector bundles over ruled varieties. Let E be a vector bundle of rank $n + 1$ over a smooth projective variety Y and let $X = \mathbb{P}_Y(E)$ with the projection map $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$ and tautological line bundle H .

Definition 2.1. For a vector bundle $\mathcal{F}$ over X , we say that $\mathcal{F}$ is f π -regular when

$$R^i \pi_*(\mathcal{F}(f - i)) = 0$$

for every $i \geq 1$.

Here $\mathcal{F}(f - i) = \mathcal{F} \otimes (f - i)H$. Note that a line bundle of the form $aH + \pi^*B$ is $(-a)$ π -regular. We present some basic facts about the π -regularity.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{G}$ be two vector bundles on X with f and g π -regularity, respectively.

(1) $\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}$ is $(f + g)$ π -regular.

(2) If $f \leq 1$, then

$$H^i(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(Y, \pi_* \mathcal{F}) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 0.$$

(3) If $f \leq 0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \pi^*(\pi_* \mathcal{F})$, there is an exact sequence of vector bundles on X

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}}$ is 1 π -regular.

(4) If $f \leq 0$ and $g \leq 0$, then there is a surjective map

$$\pi_* \mathcal{F} \otimes \pi_* \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \pi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow 0.$$

In particular, if Y is a curve

$$\mu^-(\pi_*(\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{G})) \geq \mu^-(\pi_* \mathcal{F}) + \mu^-(\pi_* \mathcal{G}).$$

Proof. See Lemma 3.2 in [1]. □

2.4. Bott formula. Let Y be a projective variety and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle of rank $n + 1$. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_Y(\mathcal{E})$ with the tautological line bundle $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and projection morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$. Then there is a natural exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}(1) \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(1) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\Omega_{X/Y}$ denotes the relative canonical sheaf which is clearly of rank n . Denote $\wedge^j \Omega_{X/Y}$ by $\Omega_{X/Y}^j$.

Proposition 2.5. (1) For $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $k > j$,

$$\pi_* \Omega_{X/Y}^j(k) \text{ is a vector bundle on } Y \text{ of rank } \binom{k+n-j}{k} \binom{k-1}{j}.$$

(2) For $i \geq 1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$R^i \pi_* \Omega_{X/Y}^j(k) = 0.$$

(3) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a vector bundle on Y . Then

$$H^i(X, \Omega_{X/Y}^j(k) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{F}) \cong H^i(Y, \pi_* \Omega_{X/Y}^j(k) \otimes \mathcal{F})$$

for $i \geq 1$, $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $k \geq 1$.

Proof. For every $y \in Y$,

$$\begin{aligned} R^i \pi_* \Omega_{X/Y}^j(k)|_{\pi^{-1}(y)} &\cong H^i(\pi^{-1}(y), \Omega_{X/Y}^j(k)|_{\pi^{-1}(y)}) \\ &\cong H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^j(k)). \end{aligned}$$

Then by Bott formula,

$$h^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \Omega_{\mathbb{P}^n}^j(k)) = \begin{cases} \binom{k+n-j}{k} \binom{k-1}{j} & \text{if } i = 0, 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } k > j, \text{ and} \\ 0 & \text{if } i \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n \text{ and } k \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

which completes the proof of (1) and (2). Finally (3) follows from

$$R^i \pi_*(\Omega_{X/Y}^j(k) \otimes \pi^* \mathcal{F}) = R^i \pi_*(\Omega_{X/Y}^j(k)) \otimes \mathcal{F} = 0$$

for $i \geq 1, 1 \leq j \leq n$ and $k \geq 1$ which is guaranteed by (2) and projection formula. $\square$

2.5. Criteria for Property N_p . In this subsection we recall well-known cohomological criteria for Property N_p . Let X be a smooth projective variety and let $L \in \text{Pic} X$ be a very ample line bundle such that $H^1(X, L^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_L \rightarrow H^0(X, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

where $H^0(X, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L$ is the natural surjective evaluation map.

Lemma 2.6. (1) (X, L) satisfies Property N_p if and only if $H^1(X, \wedge^i M_L \otimes L^j) = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq p+1$ and $j \geq 1$.

(2) For $p \leq \text{codim}(X, \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L))$, Property N_p holds for (X, L) if and only if

$$H^1(X, \wedge^{p+1} M_L \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for all } j \geq 1.$$

Proof. See §1 in [8] and Lemma 1.6 in [4]. $\square$

3. VERONESE EMBEDDING AND PROPERTY N_p OF RULED VARIETIES

Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus g and let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle of rank $n+1$ over C . For the associated projective space bundle $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$, let $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ be the tautological line bundle and $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ the projection morphism. Thus

$$\text{Pic} X = \mathbb{Z}[H] \oplus \pi^* \text{Pic} C$$

and every line bundle on X is written as $aH + \pi^* B$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $B \in \text{Pic} C$.

Lemma 3.1. For $L = aH + \pi^* B \in \text{Pic} X$, assume that $\mu^-(\pi_* L) > 2g$. Then

(1) L is very ample.

(2) $H^1(X, L^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$.

(3) $\text{codim}(X, \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)) \geq \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } n \geq 3 \text{ and } a = 2, \text{ and} \\ 3a - 3 & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ and } a \geq 3. \end{cases}$

Proof. (1) When $a = 1$, see Lemma 2.1.(8). When $a \geq 2$, consider the vector bundle $\mathcal{F} = \pi_* L$. Then $X \subset \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})$ is given by a fiberwise a -uple map and

$$\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})}(1)|_X = L.$$

Since $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})}(1)$ is very ample, so is L .

(2) See Lemma 2.1.(6).

(3) Note that $h^0(X, L) = h^0(C, \mathcal{F})$ where $\mathcal{F} = \pi_* L$. Also note that

$$\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) = \binom{n+a}{a} \text{ and } \text{degree}(\mathcal{F}) = \binom{n+a}{a-1} \cdot \deg \mathcal{F} + \text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) \cdot \deg(B).$$

Thus

$$h^0(C, \mathcal{F}) \geq \binom{n+a}{a} (\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) - g + 1) \geq \binom{n+a}{a}$$

by Riemann-Roch. Therefore it suffices to show that

$$\binom{n+a}{a} - (n+1) \geq \begin{cases} 5 & \text{if } n \geq 3 \text{ and } a = 2, \text{ and} \\ 3a - 3 & \text{if } n \geq 2 \text{ and } a \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

which is easily checked. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since $\mu^-(\pi_* L) = a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) + \deg(B)$, we may assume that $\mu^-(\pi_* L) > 2g$. Thus L is very ample and $H^1(X, L^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.1. Consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_L \rightarrow H^0(X, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

We first prove that M_L is 1 π -regular. Let $\mathcal{G}_a$ be the vector bundle on X defined by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_a \rightarrow \pi^* S^a(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow aH \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that for each $P \in C$, the restriction of the above sequence to $\pi^{-1}(P) \cong \mathbb{P}^n$ is just equal to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow M_a \rightarrow H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since we assume that $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p , $H^i(\mathbb{P}^n, \wedge^m M_a \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(aj)) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq p+1$ and all $i, j \geq 1$. Thus

$$R^i \pi_* (\wedge^m \mathcal{G}_a \otimes ajH) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq p+1 \text{ and all } i, j \geq 1. \quad (*)$$

Also $\mathcal{G}_a$ is 1 π -regular by Lemma 2.4.(3). Put $\mathcal{F} = \pi_* L = S^a(\mathcal{E}) \otimes B$. Then we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & & & 0 & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & 0 & & & \mathcal{G}_a \otimes \pi^* B & & \\
 & \downarrow & & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 \rightarrow & \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} & \rightarrow & H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & \pi^* \mathcal{F} & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \downarrow & \\
 0 \rightarrow & M_L & \rightarrow & H^0(X, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X & \rightarrow & L & \rightarrow 0 \\
 & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & \\
 & \mathcal{G}_a \otimes \pi^* B & & & & 0 & \\
 & \downarrow & & & & & \\
 & 0 & & & & &
 \end{array}$$

Since $\pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}}$ is 0 π -regular, it is immediately proved that M_L is 1 π -regular from the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow M_L \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_a \otimes \pi^* B \rightarrow 0$. Now we start to prove the theorem. We divide the cases by n and a .

Case 1. Assume that $a = 1$ or $n = 1$ or $n = a = 2$. Then $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p for all $p \geq 0$. For these cases, see Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.7.

Case 2. Assume that $n \geq 3$ and $a = 2$. Then $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2))$ satisfies Property N_p if and only if $p \leq 5$. Thus it suffices to show that there is an integer s such that $(X, 2H + \pi^* B)$ satisfies Property N_5 for any $B \in \text{Pic} C$ such that $\deg(B) \geq s$. By Lemma 3.1.(3), $\text{codim}(X, \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)) \geq 5$. Therefore $(X, 2H + \pi^* B)$ satisfies Property N_5 if and only if

$$H^1(X, \wedge^6 M_L \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for all } j \geq 1.$$

by Lemma 2.6. First we show that $H^1(X, \wedge^6 M_L \otimes L^j) = 0$ if $j \geq 3$. Indeed from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^7 M_L \otimes L^j \rightarrow \wedge^7 H^0(X, L) \otimes L^j \rightarrow \wedge^6 M_L \otimes L^{j+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

we obtain that $H^1(X, \wedge^6 M_L \otimes L^{j+1}) \cong H^2(X, \wedge^7 M_L \otimes L^j)$ for all $j \geq 1$ since $H^1(X, L^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$. Note that $H^2(X, \wedge^7 M_L \otimes L^j) \subset H^2(X, T^7 M_L \otimes L^j)$ since $\wedge^7 M_L$ is a direct summand of $T^7 M_L$. Also since M_L is 1 π -regular, $H^2(X, T^7 M_L \otimes L^j) \cong H^2(C, \pi_* T^7 M_L \otimes L^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 3$ by Lemma 2.4. Therefore $(X, 2H + \pi^* B)$ satisfies Property N_5 if and only if

$$H^1(X, \wedge^6 M_L \otimes L) = H^1(X, \wedge^6 M_L \otimes L^2) = 0.$$

Also from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow M_L \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes \pi^* B \rightarrow 0,$$

$H^1(X, \wedge^6 M_L \otimes L^j) = 0$ if

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^{6-i} \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes \pi^* B^{6-i} \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for all } i = 0, \dots, 6.$$

Now by (*) and the projection formula,

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^{6-i} \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes \pi^* B^{6-i} \otimes L^j) \cong H^1(C, \wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes B^{6-i+j} \otimes \pi_*(\wedge^{6-i} \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes 2jH)).$$

Thus by Lemma 2.1.(6) and Theorem 2.2, we get the desired vanishing if

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu^-(\wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes B^{6-i+j} \otimes \pi_*(\wedge^{6-i} \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes 2jH)) \\ & \geq i\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + (6-i+j)\deg(B) + \mu^-(\pi_*(\wedge^{6-i} \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes 2jH)) \\ & \geq -\frac{6\mu^-(\mathcal{F})}{\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) - g} + (6-i+j)\deg(B) + \mu^-(\pi_*(\wedge^{6-i} \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes 2jH)) \\ & > 2g - 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\min\{\mu^-(\pi_*(\wedge^{6-i} \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes 2jH)) \mid i = 0, \dots, 6 \text{ and } j = 1, 2\}$$

is well-defined, there exists an integer s such that

$$-\frac{6\mu^-(\mathcal{F})}{\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) - g} + (6-i+j)\deg(B) + \mu^-(\pi_*(\wedge^{6-i} \mathcal{G}_2 \otimes 2jH)) > 2g - 2$$

if $\deg(B) \geq s$.

Case 3. Assume that $n \geq 2$ and $a \geq 3$. In this case, the proof is almost the same as *Case 2*. Recall that if $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p , then $p \leq 3a - 3$ [12]. By Lemma 3.1.(3), $\text{codim}(X, \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)) \geq 3a - 3$. Thus (X, L) satisfies Property N_p if and only if

$$H^1(X, \wedge^{p+1} M_L \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for all } j \geq 1.$$

But this can be proved for $j \geq 3$ by the same method as in *Case 2* since $p \leq 3a - 3$. Also

$$\min\{\mu^-(\pi_*(\wedge^{p+1-i} \mathcal{G}_a \otimes ajH)) \mid 0 \leq i \leq p+1 \text{ and } j = 1, 2\}$$

is well-defined and hence there exists an integer $s(a, p)$ such that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^{p+1} M_L \otimes L) = H^1(X, \wedge^{p+1} M_L \otimes L^2) = 0$$

if $\deg(B) \geq s(a, p)$. □

Now we consider the converse of Theorem 1.1. One may guess that if $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ fails to satisfy Property N_p then $(X, aH + \pi^*B)$ also fails to satisfy Property N_p no matter how large $\deg(B)$ is. Note that this is true for $C \times \mathbb{P}^n$ (i.e. $\mathcal{E} = \oplus^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_C$) by Elena Rubei's result[14]. Recently D. Eisenbud, M. Green, K. Hulek and S. Popescu prove the following:

Theorem 3.2 (D. Eisenbud, M. Green, K. Hulek and S. Popescu, Theorem 1.1 in [3]). *For a projective variety X and a very ample line bundle $L \in \text{Pic}X$, if $X \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$ admits a $(p+2)$ -secant p -plane, i.e., there exists a linear subspace $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$ of dimension $\leq p$ such that $X \cap \Lambda$ is finite and $\text{length}(X \cap \Lambda) \geq p+2$, then (X, L) fails to satisfy Property N_p .*

By applying this result to Veronese embedding, they reproved that

- (i) if $n \geq 3$, then $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(2))$ fails to satisfy Property N_6 since it admits a 8-secant 6-plane, and
- (ii) if $n \geq 2$ and $a \geq 3$, then $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ fails to satisfy Property N_{3a-2} since it admits a $3a$ -secant $(3a-2)$ -plane.

For details, see Proposition 3.2 in [3]. This result enables us to prove the following:

Corollary 3.3. *Let $L = aH + \pi^*B$ be a very ample line bundle on X . For $\pi_*L = \mathcal{F}$, assume that $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{F})}(1)$ is also very ample. Then*

- (1) *If $n \geq 3$ and $a = 2$, then L fails to satisfy Property N_6 .*
- (2) *If $n \geq 2$ and $a \geq 3$, then L fails to satisfy Property N_{3a-2} .*

Proof. The assertion comes immediately from Theorem 3.2 since $aH + \pi^*B$ defines a fiberwise a -uple Veronese embedding. Indeed each fibre of π admits a multiseccant linear space as described above. $\square$

4. PROPERTY N_p OF RULED VARIETIES OVER A CURVE

Throughout this section we use the following notations:

- C : smooth projective curve of genus g
- $\mathcal{E}$: vector bundle of rank $n+1$ over C
- $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(1) = H$ and projection morphism $\pi : X \rightarrow C$

First recall that $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p for all $p \geq 0$ if and only if $a = 1$ or $n = 1$ and $a \geq 1$ or $n = a = 2$. For these three cases we obtain Theorem 4.2, Theorem 4.5 and Theorem 4.7. Roughly speaking, we prove that when $a = 1$ or $n = 1$ and $a \geq 1$ or $n = a = 2$ and if $p \geq 0$ is a fixed integer, then $(X, aH + \pi^*B)$ satisfies Property N_p for all $B \in \text{Pic}C$ having sufficiently large degree. Note that this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. Also if $n \geq 3$ and $a = 2$ or $n \geq 2$ and $a \geq 3$, then $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p for $0 \leq p \leq a-1$. In these cases, we prove an effective statement in Theorem 4.9. Let $L = aH + \pi^*B \in \text{Pic}X$ be such that $a \geq 1$ and $\mu^-(\pi_*L) > 2g$. Thus L is very ample and $H^1(X, L^j) = 0$ for all $j \geq 1$ by Lemma 3.1. If we put $\pi_*L = \mathcal{F}$, then by Lemma 2.4.(3) there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow \pi^*\mathcal{F} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$$

of vector bundles on X where $\mathcal{K}_L$ is 1 π -regular. So we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & & & 0 \\
& & & & \downarrow \\
& & & & \mathcal{K}_L \\
& & & & \downarrow \\
& & 0 & & \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 \rightarrow \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow H^0(C, \mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow 0 & & & & \\
(1) \quad & \downarrow & \parallel & & \downarrow \\
0 \rightarrow M_L \rightarrow H^0(X, L) \otimes \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0 & & & & \\
& \downarrow & & & \downarrow \\
& \mathcal{K}_L & & & 0 \\
& \downarrow & & & \\
& 0 & & & .
\end{array}$$

Lemma 4.1. *If $\ell \leq aj$, then $\mu^-(\pi_* T^\ell \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) \geq (\ell + j)\mu^-(\mathcal{F})$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{G}_a$ be the 1 π -regular bundle on X defined by the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_a \rightarrow \pi^* S^a(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow aH \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus $\mathcal{K}_L = \mathcal{G}_a \otimes \pi^* B$. By applying Lemma 2.4,

$$\mu^-(\pi_* T^\ell \mathcal{G}_a \otimes ajH) \geq \ell \mu^-(\pi_* \mathcal{G}_a \otimes H) + (aj - \ell) \mu^-(\mathcal{E}).$$

Also from two exact sequences

$$\begin{aligned}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_a \otimes H \rightarrow \pi^* \pi_*(aH) \otimes H \rightarrow (a+1)H \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{and} \\
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_1 \otimes aH \rightarrow \pi^* \pi_*(H) \otimes aH \rightarrow (a+1)H \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

$\pi_*(\mathcal{G}_a \otimes H) = \pi_*(\mathcal{G}_1 \otimes aH)$ because they are both the kernel of the map

$$\mathcal{E} \otimes S^a(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow S^{a+1}\mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0.$$

Clearly $\mathcal{G}_1 = \Omega_{X/C} \otimes H$ and thus

$$\mu^-(\pi_* \mathcal{G}_a \otimes H) = \mu^-(\pi_* \Omega_{X/C} \otimes (a+1)H) \geq (a+1)\mu^-(\mathcal{E})$$

by Lemma 4.3. Therefore $\mu^-(\pi_* T^\ell \mathcal{G}_a \otimes ajH) \geq a(\ell + j)\mu^-(\mathcal{E})$. Note that the desired inequality is obtained immediately from this since $\mathcal{K}_L = \mathcal{G}_a \otimes \pi^* B$. $\square$

4.1. Ruled Scrolls. When $a = 1$, we obtain the following:

Theorem 4.2. *For $L = H + \pi^* B \in \text{Pic} X$, assume that $\mu^-(\pi_* L) > 2g$. Then L satisfies Property N_p if*

$$\mu^-(\pi_* L) + \frac{2g^2 - 2g}{\mu^-(\pi_* L)} > 3g - 1 + p.$$

Proof. In the commutative diagram (1), $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{E} \otimes B$ and $\mathcal{K}_L = \Omega_{X/C} \otimes L$ where $\Omega_{X/C}$ denotes the relative canonical sheaf. Denote $\wedge^j \Omega_{X/C}$ by $\Omega_{X/C}^j$. It needs to show that $H^1(X, \wedge^m M_L \otimes L^j) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq p+1$ and all $j \geq 1$. Also from the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow M_L \rightarrow \Omega_{X/C} \otimes L \rightarrow 0,$$

it suffices to show that for every $1 \leq \ell \leq p+1$, $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $j \geq 1$,

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \Omega_{X/C}^{\ell-i} \otimes L^{\ell-i+j}) = 0$$

or equivalently

$$H^1(C, \wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_*(\Omega_{X/C}^{\ell-i} \otimes L^{\ell-i+j})) = 0$$

by Proposition 2.5. Thus by Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that

$$\mu^-(\wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_*(\Omega_{X/C}^{\ell-i} \otimes L^{\ell-i+j})) > 2g - 2.$$

By the following Lemma 4.3,

$$\mu^-(\pi_* \Omega_{X/C}^{\ell-i} \otimes L^{\ell-i+j}) \geq (\ell - i + j) \mu^-(\mathcal{F})$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^-(\wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_*(\Omega_{X/C}^{\ell-i} \otimes L^{\ell-i+j})) &\geq i\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + \mu^-(\pi_*(\Omega_{X/C}^{\ell-i} \otimes L^{\ell-i+j})) \\ &\geq i\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + (\ell - i + j)\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \\ &\geq (p+1)\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \\ &\geq -\frac{(p+1)\mu^-(\mathcal{F})}{(\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) - g)} + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \text{ (Theorem 2.2)}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked that the inequality

$$-\frac{(p+1)\mu^-(\mathcal{F})}{\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) - g} + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) > 2g - 2$$

is equivalent to our assumption on p . □

Lemma 4.3. $\mu^-(\pi_* \Omega_{X/C}^i \otimes L^{i+j}) \geq (i+j)\mu^-(\mathcal{F})$ for every $j \geq 1$.

Proof. In the commutative diagram (1), $\mathcal{K}_L = \Omega_{X/C} \otimes L$ and thus we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/C} \otimes L \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0.$$

From this we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{X/C}^{i+1} \otimes L^{i+j} \rightarrow \wedge^{i+1} \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{j-1} \rightarrow \Omega_{X/C}^i \otimes L^{i+j} \rightarrow 0$$

for every $j \geq 1$. Then by Proposition 2.5, $R^1 \pi_*(\Omega_{X/C}^{i+1} \otimes L^{i+j}) = 0$ and hence we get the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_* \Omega_{X/C}^{i+1} \otimes L^{i+j} \rightarrow \wedge^{i+1} \mathcal{F} \otimes \pi_* L^{j-1} \rightarrow \pi_* \Omega_{X/C}^i \otimes L^{i+j} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now by applying Lemma 2.1.(4),

$$\mu^-(\pi_* \Omega_{X/C}^i \otimes L^{i+j}) \geq \mu^-(\wedge^{i+1} \mathcal{F} \otimes \pi_* L^{j-1}) \geq (i+j)\mu^-(\mathcal{F}).$$

which completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $L = H + \pi^*B \in \text{Pic}X$ be such that $\deg(B) = b$.*

- (1) *When $g = 1$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + \mu^-(\mathcal{E}) > 2 + p$.*
- (2) *When $g = 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + \mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 5 + p$.*
- (3) *When $g = 3$ and $0 \leq p \leq 4$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + \mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 7 + p$.*
- (4) *When $g = 4$ and $0 \leq p \leq 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + \mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 9 + p$.*
- (5) *When $g = 5$ and $0 \leq p \leq 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + \mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 11 + p$.*
- (6) *When $g \geq 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + \mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 3g - 1 + p$.*
- (7) *When $g \geq 1$, L is normally generated if $b + \mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2g + 1$ and normally presented if $b + \mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2g + 2$.*

Proof. Put $\nu = \mu^-(\pi_*L) = b + \mu^-(\mathcal{E})$. Then the inequality in Theorem 4.2 is equivalent to

$$\nu^2 - (3g - 1 + p)\nu + 2g^2 - 2g > 0.$$

When $g = 1$, this is equivalent to $\nu > 2 + p$. When $g \geq 2$, this holds for all $\nu \geq 3g - 1 + p$. The other cases are also easily checked by using the above inequality. $\square$

Remark 4.1.1. If $g = 0$ and $a = 1$, i.e., (X, L) is a rational normal scroll, then Property N_p holds for all $p \geq 0$. See Theorem 3.6 in [12]. $\square$

Example 4.1.1. For $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \text{Pic}C$ of degrees $a_1, \dots, a_n$ with $0 \geq a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$, let $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(A_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(A_n)$, i.e., $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized and totally decomposable, and let $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$. Thus $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) = a_n$. For $B \in \text{Pic}C$ of degree b , $L = H + \pi^*B$ is very ample if $b + a_n \geq 2g + 1$. Also for the section C_n determined by $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(A_n) \rightarrow 0$, the restriction of L to C_n is equal to $\mathcal{O}_C(A_n + B)$. Note that for the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$, C_n is the linear section of X and $\mathbb{P}H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(A_n + B)) \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$. For $g = 1$ or 2 , we obtain the followings:

- (1) Let C be an elliptic curve. Note that L is very ample if and only if $b + a_n \geq 3$. By Corollary 4.4, (X, L) satisfies Property N_p if $b + a_n \geq 3 + p$. Assume that $b + a_n = 3 + p$. Then it fails to satisfy Property N_{p+1} since the linear section $C_n \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(A_n + B))$ gives a $(p + 3)$ -secant $(p + 1)$ -plane. See Theorem 3.2.
- (2) Let C be a curve of genus 2. Note that L is very ample if and only if $b + a_n \geq 5$. By the same way as in (1), (X, L) satisfies Property N_p if and only if $b + a_n \geq 5 + p$.

This seems to have been unknown even for the trivial case $X = C \times \mathbb{P}^n$, i.e., $\mathcal{E} = \oplus^{n+1} \mathcal{O}_C$. $\square$

4.2. Ruled Surfaces. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a normalized rank 2 vector bundle on C . We follow the notation and terminology of R. Hartshorne's book [10], V §2. Let

$$\mathfrak{e} = \wedge^2 \mathcal{E} \text{ and } e = -\deg(\mathfrak{e}).$$

We fix a minimal section C_0 such that $\mathcal{O}_X(C_0) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(1)$. For $\mathfrak{b} \in \text{Pic}C$, $\mathfrak{b}f$ denote the pullback of $\mathfrak{b}$ by π . Thus any element of $\text{Pic}X$ can be written $aC_0 + \mathfrak{b}f$ with $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathfrak{b} \in \text{Pic}C$ and any element of $\text{Num}X$ can be written $aC_0 + bf$ with $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Theorem 4.5. *For $L \equiv aC_0 + bf$, assume that $\mu^-(\pi_*L) > 2g$. Then L satisfies Property N_p if*

$$a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) + b + \frac{2g^2 - 2g}{a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) + b} > 3g - 1 + p.$$

Proof. Note that in the commutative diagram (1), $\mathcal{K}_L$ is a vector bundle of rank a and 1 π -regular on X . It needs to show that $H^1(X, \wedge^m M_L \otimes L^j) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq p+1$ and all $j \geq 1$. Also from the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow M_L \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow 0,$$

it suffices to show that for every $1 \leq \ell \leq p+1$, $0 \leq i \leq \ell$ and $j \geq 1$,

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = 0.$$

Case 1. First we concentrate on the case $\ell - i = 0$. Then

$$H^1(X, \wedge^{\ell} \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes L^j) \cong H^1(C, \wedge^{\ell} M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_* L^j)$$

and hence it suffices to show

$$\mu^-(\wedge^{\ell} M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_* L^j) > 2g - 2.$$

Indeed we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^-(\wedge^{\ell} M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_* L^j) &\geq \ell\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + j\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \\ &\geq (p+1)\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \\ &\geq -(p+1)\frac{\mu^-(\mathcal{F})}{\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) - g} + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \\ &> 2g - 2 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is equivalent to our assumption on p since

$$\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) = a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) + b.$$

Case 2. Now we consider the case $\ell - i \geq 1$. Because $\mathcal{K}_L$ is of rank a , we only consider the cases $\ell - i \leq a$. Since $\wedge^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L$ is a direct summand of the tensor product $T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L$, it suffices to show that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = 0.$$

Note that since $\ell - i \leq a$, $T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j$ is 0 π -regular for all $j \geq 1$. Therefore

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = H^1(C, \wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_*(T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j)).$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\mu^-(\pi_* T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) \geq (j + \ell - i)\mu^-(\mathcal{F})$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^-(\wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_*(T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j)) &\geq (p+1)\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \\ &> 2g - 2 \end{aligned}$$

and hence we get the desired vanishing. $\square$

Corollary 4.6. *Let $L \in \text{Pic}X$ be a line bundle in the numerical class $aC_0 + bf$.*

- (1) *When $g = 1$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) > 2 + p$.*
- (2) *When $g = 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 5 + p$.*
- (3) *When $g = 3$ and $0 \leq p \leq 4$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 7 + p$.*
- (4) *When $g = 4$ and $0 \leq p \leq 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 9 + p$.*

- (5) When $g = 5$ and $0 \leq p \leq 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 11 + p$.
- (6) When $g \geq 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 3g - 1 + p$.
- (7) When $g \geq 1$, L is normally generated if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2g + 1$ and normally presented if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2g + 2$.

Proof. The results follow from the inequality of Theorem 4.5. See the proof of Corollary 4.4. $\square$

Remark 4.2.1. Let X be the rational ruled surface associated to $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-e)$. For a given $p \geq 0$, F. J. Gallego and B. P. Purnaprajna[9] classify all very ample line bundles on X satisfying Property N_p . More precisely, let L be a very ample line bundle in the numerical class of $aC_0 + bf$ (i.e., $a \geq 1$ and $b - ae \geq 1$).

- (i) If $a = 1$, then L satisfies Property N_p for all $p \geq 0$.
- (ii) If $e = 0$ and $b = 1$, then L satisfies Property N_p for all $p \geq 0$.
- (iii) If $e = 0$ and $a, b \geq 2$ or $e \geq 1$ and $a \geq 2$, then L satisfies Property N_p if and only if $a \geq 1$ and $2a + 2b - ae \geq 3 + p$. $\square$

Remark 4.2.2. In [13], the relation between higher syzygies of the ruled surface and that of the minimal section is considered. Also it is proved that Mukai's conjecture is true for ruled surfaces with $e \geq \max\{0, \frac{g-2}{2}\}$. $\square$

4.3. Veronese Surface Fibrations. Let $\mathcal{E}$ is a rank 3 vector bundle and as in the previous subsection, we use the following notations:

$$\mathfrak{e} = \wedge^3 \mathcal{E} \text{ and } e = -\deg(\mathfrak{e})$$

Theorem 4.7. For $L = 2H + \pi^*B \in \text{Pic}X$, assume that $\mu^-(\pi_*L) > 2g$. Then L satisfies Property N_p if

$$7\mu(\pi_*L) \geq \mu^+(\pi_*L) \text{ and } \mu^-(\pi_*L) + \frac{2g^2 - 2g}{\mu^-(\pi_*L)} > 3g - 1 + p.$$

(Note that when $\mathcal{E}$ is semistable, the first condition always holds.)

Proof. Again we use the commutative diagram (1) introduced in the first of this section. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*M_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow 0,$$

it suffices to show that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^*M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq \ell \leq p+1, 0 \leq i \leq \ell \text{ and } j \geq 1.$$

But since $\mathcal{K}_L$ is a rank 5 vector bundle on X , we only consider the cases $\ell - i \leq 5$.

Case 1. Assume that $\ell - i = 0$. We want to prove that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^*M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq p+1 \text{ and } j \geq 1.$$

But $H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes L^j) \cong H^1(C, \wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_* L^j)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^-(\wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_* L^j) &\geq (p+1)\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \\ &\geq -(p+1)\frac{\mu^-(\mathcal{F})}{(\mu^-(\mathcal{F}) - g)} + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) \\ &> 2g - 2 \end{aligned}$$

which gives the desired vanishing.

Case 2. Assume that $1 \leq \ell - i \leq 2$. Here we check that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^k \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq k \leq 2, 1 \leq i+k \leq p+1 \text{ and } j \geq 1.$$

Also since $\wedge^k \mathcal{K}_L$ is a direct summand of the tensor product $T^k \mathcal{K}_L$, we may instead show that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes T^k \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = 0.$$

In this case, $T^k \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j$ is 0 π -regular for $j \geq 1$ and hence the above vanishing is obtained by a similar method as in the proof of Theorem 4.5.

Case 3. Assume that $\ell - i = 3$. What we want to show is

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq p-2 \text{ and } j \geq 1.$$

Note that if $j \geq 2$, then $\wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j$ is 0 π -regular and we can use the same method as in the second case. Also $\wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L$ is 1 π -regular and hence we concentrate on proving the following:

$$H^1(C, \wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_* \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L) = 0$$

But by the following Lemma 4.8, $\mu^-(\pi_* \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L) \geq \mu^-(\mathcal{F})$ and hence

$$\mu^-(\wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_* \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L) \geq (p+1)\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) > 2g - 2$$

which completes the proof.

Case 4. Assume that $\ell - i = 4$. The following is our aim:

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq p-3 \text{ and } j \geq 1.$$

Note that if $j \geq 2$, then $\wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j$ is 0 π -regular and we can use the same method as in the second case. Thus it suffices to show that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L) = 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq p-3.$$

From the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow \pi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow L \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^5 \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow \wedge^5 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L \rightarrow 0$$

and $\wedge^5 \mathcal{K}_L \cong \wedge^6 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{-1}$. In particular, $R^1 \pi_* \wedge^5 \mathcal{K}_L = R^2 \pi_* \wedge^5 \mathcal{K}_L = 0$ since $L = 2H + \pi^* B$. Therefore

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L) \cong H^1(C, \wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_* \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L).$$

Also from the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_* \wedge^5 \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow \wedge^5 \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi_* \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L \rightarrow 0,$$

$\mu^-(\pi_* \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L) \geq 5\mu^-(\mathcal{F})$ and hence

$$\mu^-(\wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_* \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L) \geq (p+1)\mu^-(M_{\mathcal{F}}) + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) > 2g-2$$

which completes the proof.

Case 5. Assume that $\ell - i = 5$. Since $\wedge^5 \mathcal{K}_L = \wedge^6 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{-1}$, we need to check that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^6 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{j-1}) = 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq i \leq p-4 \text{ and } j \geq 1.$$

Since $H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^* M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^6 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{j-1}) \cong H^1(C, \wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^6 \mathcal{F} \otimes \pi_* L^{j-1})$, the desired vanishing follows immediately by estimating μ^- . $\square$

Lemma 4.8. $\mu^-(\pi_* \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L) \geq \mu^-(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. From the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \wedge^5 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^{-1} \cong \wedge^6 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{-2} \rightarrow \wedge^5 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{-1} \rightarrow \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow 0.$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = R^1 \pi_* \wedge^5 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{-1} &\rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow R^2 \pi_* \wedge^6 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{-2} \\ &\rightarrow R^2 \pi_* \wedge^5 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{-1} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $R^1 \pi_* \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \cong R^2 \pi_* \wedge^6 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \otimes L^{-2} \cong \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes (\wedge^3 \mathcal{E})^\vee \otimes \mathcal{O}_C(-2B) \otimes \wedge^6 \mathcal{F}$. For details, see Exercise 3.8.4 in [10]. Also the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow \wedge^4 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L \rightarrow 0$ induces

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \pi_* \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L &\rightarrow \pi_* \wedge^4 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi_* \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L \\ &\rightarrow R^1 \pi_* \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow R^1 \wedge^4 \pi^* \mathcal{F} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let the image of $\pi_* \wedge^4 \pi^* \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \pi_* \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L$ be $\mathcal{H}$. Then from the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 \rightarrow \pi_* \wedge^4 \mathcal{K}_L & \rightarrow & \pi_* \wedge^4 \pi^* \mathcal{F} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \pi_* \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes (\wedge^3 \mathcal{E})^\vee \otimes (-2B) \otimes \wedge^6 \mathcal{F} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \\ & & 0 & & \end{array}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^-(\pi_* \wedge^3 \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L) &\geq \min\{\mu^-(\mathcal{H}), \mu^-(\mathcal{E}^\vee \otimes (\wedge^3 \mathcal{E})^\vee \otimes (-2B) \otimes \wedge^6 \mathcal{F})\} \\
&\geq \min\{4\mu^-(\mathcal{F}), -\mu^+(\mathcal{E}) + e - 2\deg(B) + 6\mu(\mathcal{F})\} \\
&= \min\{4\mu^-(\mathcal{F}), -\mu^+(\mathcal{E}) - \frac{1}{2}\deg(B) + \frac{9}{2}\mu(\mathcal{F})\} \\
&= \min\{4\mu^-(\mathcal{F}), -\frac{1}{2}\mu^+(\mathcal{F}) + \frac{9}{2}\mu(\mathcal{F})\} \\
&\geq \mu^-(\mathcal{F}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here we use the identities $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}^\vee) = -\mu^+(\mathcal{E})$, $\deg S^2 \mathcal{E} = 4\deg \mathcal{E}$ and $e = \frac{3}{2}\deg(B) - \frac{3}{2}\mu(\mathcal{F})$, and the assumption $7\mu(\mathcal{F}) \geq \mu^+(\mathcal{F})$. $\square$

4.4. Arbitrary Cases. In this subsection we consider arbitrary $n \geq 1$ and $a \geq 1$ and $0 \leq p \leq a - 1$. Recall that $(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(a))$ satisfies Property N_p for $0 \leq p \leq a - 1$. Precisely we prove the following:

Theorem 4.9. *For $L = aH + \pi^*B \in \text{Pic}X$, assume that $\mu^-(\pi_*L) > 2g$. If $0 \leq p \leq a - 1$ and*

$$\mu^-(\pi_*L) + \frac{2g^2 - 2g}{\mu^-(\pi_*L)} > 3g - 1 + p,$$

then (X, L) satisfies Property N_p .

Proof. Again we use the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \pi^*M_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_L \rightarrow 0,$$

in the commutative diagram (1). Thus it suffices to show that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^*M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \wedge^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = 0 \text{ for every } 1 \leq \ell \leq p+1, 0 \leq i \leq \ell \text{ and } j \geq 1.$$

Since $\wedge^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L$ is a direct summand of the tensor product $T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L$, we prove that

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^*M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = 0.$$

Note that since $\ell - i \leq p+1 \leq a$, $T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j$ is 0 π -regular for all $j \geq 1$. Therefore

$$H^1(X, \wedge^i \pi^*M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j) = H^1(C, \wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_*(T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j)).$$

By Lemma 4.1, $\mu^-(\pi_*(T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j)) \geq (\ell - i + j)\mu^-(\mathcal{F})$ and hence

$$\mu^-(\wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \pi_*(T^{\ell-i} \mathcal{K}_L \otimes L^j)) \geq (p+1)\mu^-(\wedge^i M_{\mathcal{F}}) + \mu^-(\mathcal{F}) > 2g - 2$$

by our assumption on p , which completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 4.10. *Let $L = aH + \pi^*B \in \text{Pic}X$ be such that $\deg(B) = b$. For (1) – (6), we always assume that $p \leq a - 1$*

- (1) *When $g = 1$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) > 2 + p$.*
- (2) *When $g = 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 5 + p$.*
- (3) *When $g = 3$ and $0 \leq p \leq 4$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 7 + p$.*
- (4) *When $g = 4$ and $0 \leq p \leq 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 9 + p$.*
- (5) *When $g = 5$ and $0 \leq p \leq 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 11 + p$.*
- (6) *When $g \geq 2$, L satisfies Property N_p if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 3g - 1 + p$.*
- (7) *When $g \geq 1$, L is normally generated if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2g + 1$ and normally presented if $b + a\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) \geq 2g + 2$.*

Proof. The results follow from the inequality of Theorem 4.9. See the proof of Corollary 4.4. $\square$

Example 4.4.1. We consider again the ruled variety defined in Example 4.1.1. For $B \in \text{Pic}C$ of degree b , $L = aH + \pi^*B$ is very ample if $b + a \cdot a_n \geq 2g + 1$. Also for the section C_n determined by $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C(A_n) \rightarrow 0$, the restriction of L to C_n is equal to $\mathcal{O}_C(aA_n + B)$. Note that for the embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_C(\pi_*L) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$, C_n is the linear section of X and $\mathbb{P}H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(aA_n + B)) \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(X, L)$. For $g = 1, 2$, we obtain the followings:

- (1) Let C be an elliptic curve. Note that L is very ample if and only if $b + a \cdot a_n \geq 3$. By Corollary 4.10, if $a \geq p + 1$, then (X, L) satisfies Property N_p for $b + a \cdot a_n \geq 3 + p$. Assume that $b + a \cdot a_n = 3 + p$. Then it fails to satisfy Property N_{p+1} since the linear section $C_n \subset \mathbb{P}H^0(C, \mathcal{O}_C(aA_n + B))$ gives a $(p + 3)$ -secant $(p + 1)$ -plane. See Theorem 3.2.
- (2) Let C be a curve of genus 2. Note that L is very ample if and only if $b + a \cdot a_n \geq 5$. By the same way as in (1), if $a \geq p + 1$, then (X, L) satisfies Property N_p if and only if $b + a \cdot a_n \geq 5 + p$. $\square$

Corollary 4.11. For a vector bundle $\mathcal{E}$ of rank n on C , put $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\nu}{\tau}$ where $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tau \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(\nu, \tau) = 1$. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ be the associated projective space bundle and let $L = K_X + A_1 + \cdots + A_q$ where $A_i \in \text{Pic}X$ is ample and $q \geq n + 1$. Then

L satisfies Property N_p if $q > \tau(g + 1 + p)$.

Proof. Let $H = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})}(1)$ and put $A_i = a_iH + \pi^*B_i$ where $a_i \geq 1$ and $B_i \in \text{Pic}C$. Then

$$K_X + A_1 + \cdots + A_q = \left(\sum a_i - n\right)H + \pi^*\left(\sum B_i + K_C + \wedge^n \mathcal{E}\right)$$

Therefore

$$\mu^-(\pi_*L) \geq \sum \mu^-(\pi_*A_i) + 2g - 2 + n(\mu(\mathcal{E}) - \mu^-(\mathcal{E})).$$

Since A_i is ample, $a_i \geq 1$ and $\mu^-(\pi_*A_i) > 0$ by Lemma 2.3. Thus $\sum a_i - n \geq q - n \geq 1$. Also since $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\nu}{\tau}$, we have $\mu^-(\pi_*A_i) = a_i\mu^-(\mathcal{E}) + \deg(B_i) \geq \frac{1}{\tau}$. Thus if

$$\mu^-(\pi_*L) \geq 2g - 2 + \frac{q}{\tau} > 3g - 1 + p,$$

then L satisfies Property N_p by Theorem 4.9. Clearly the second inequality is equivalent to $q > \tau(g + 1 + p)$. $\square$

Remark 4.4.1. If $\mu^-(\mathcal{E})$ is an integer (e.g. $\mathcal{E}$ is totally decomposable), then Corollary 4.11 says that $K_X + A_1 + \cdots + A_q$ satisfies Property N_p if $q \geq \max\{n + 1, g + 2 + p\}$. In particular, Mukai's conjecture is true for $X = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E})$ if $n \geq g$. $\square$

Example 4.4.2. Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a vector bundle of rank n on $\mathbb{P}^1$ and let $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(\mathcal{E})$. Since every vector bundle on $\mathbb{P}^1$ is totally decomposable, $K_X + A_1 + \cdots + A_q$ satisfies Property N_p if $q \geq \max\{n + 1, 2 + p\}$. $\square$

5. OPTIMALITY OF THE CONDITION OF MUKAI'S CONJECTURE

This section is devoted to construct examples which show that the condition of Mukai's conjecture is sharp. Indeed for any $n \geq 2$ and $p \geq 0$ we construct (X, A) where X is a smooth projective variety of dimension n and $A \in \text{Pic}X$ is an ample line bundle such that $K_X + (n + 2 + p)A$ fails to satisfy Property N_{p+1} . Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 1$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathcal{E}$ be a normalized semistable vector bundle over C of rank $n \geq 2$ and $\deg(\wedge^n \mathcal{E}) = 1$. For $\xi \in \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_C)$, consider the corresponding extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 \quad \cdots (\xi).$$

- (1) $\mathcal{E}'$ is normalized and $\deg(\wedge^n \mathcal{E}) = 1$.
(2) If $\xi \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{E}'$ is semistable.

Proof. (1) Obvious.

(2) If $\mathcal{E}'$ is unstable, there is a quotient $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$ where $\mathcal{G}$ is a semistable vector bundle and

$$\frac{1}{n+1} = \mu(\mathcal{E}') > \mu^-(\mathcal{E}') = \mu(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{\deg(\mathcal{G})}{\text{rank}(\mathcal{G})}.$$

Therefore $\deg(\mathcal{G}) \leq 0$.

Step 1. If $\deg(\mathcal{G}) < 0$, then $H^1(C, \mathcal{G}) = 0$ since $\mu^+(\mathcal{G}) = \mu(\mathcal{G}) = \mu^-(\mathcal{G}) < 0$. Thus the composed map $\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the zero map. This implies that the surjection $\mathcal{E}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$ factors through $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathcal{E}$ is semistable, $\mu(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{1}{n} \leq \mu(\mathcal{G}) < 0$ which is a contradiction. Therefore we assume that $\deg(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ and $\deg(\mathcal{F}) = 1$.

Step 2. If the composed map $\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is the zero map, then we obtain a contradiction by the same way. Thus we assume that $\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is injective. Now consider the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & 0 & & 0 & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ & & \mathcal{F} & = & \mathcal{F} & & \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_C & \rightarrow & \mathcal{E}' & \rightarrow & \mathcal{E} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_C & \rightarrow & \mathcal{G} & \rightarrow & \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0 \\ & & & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ & & & & 0 & & 0 \end{array}$$

Since $\mathcal{E}$ is semistable,

$$\mu(\mathcal{F}) = \frac{1}{\text{rank} \mathcal{F}} \leq \frac{1}{n} = \mu(\mathcal{E})$$

while $\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) \leq n$. Therefore $\text{rank}(\mathcal{F}) = n$ and $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{O}_C$ is a torsion sheaf. This implies that $\mathcal{G}$ is a line bundle of degree 0. Thus the injection $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ is indeed an isomorphism, $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{E}$ and hence $\xi = 0$ which is a contradiction. $\square$

When $\mathcal{E}$ is normalized, $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^1(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O}_C) \cong H^1(C, \mathcal{E}^\vee) \cong H^0(C, \mathcal{E} \otimes K_C)^\vee \neq 0$. Also there exists a normalized semistable rank 2 vector bundle of degree 1. That is, there is a ruled surface over C with $e = -1$. Thus by Lemma 5.1, for any $n \geq 2$ we have a sequence of vector bundles $\{\mathcal{E}_2, \dots, \mathcal{E}_n\}$ such that

- (α) $\mathcal{E}_i$ is a normalized semistable rank i vector bundle of degree 1 and
- (β) there is a short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow 0$.

Now let $X_i = \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}_i)$. Then by Lemma 2.3, $H_i = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{E}_i)}(1)$ is ample since $\mu^-(\mathcal{E}_i) = 1/i > 0$. Also for the embedding $X_i \hookrightarrow X_{i+1}$ given by the sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_i \rightarrow 0$, $H_{i+1}|_{X_i} = H_i$.

Theorem 5.2. *Assume that C is a hyperelliptic curve. Then for each $p \geq 0$, $K_{X_n} + (n + 2 + p)H_n$ fails to satisfy Property N_{p+1} .*

Proof. To simplify notations, put $L_{i,p} = K_{X_i} + (i + 2 + p)H_i \in \text{Pic}(X_i)$ and

$$0 \rightarrow M_{i,p} \rightarrow H^0(X_i, L_{i,p}) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \rightarrow L_{i,p} \rightarrow 0.$$

Observe that $L_{i+1,p}|_{X_i} = L_{i,p}$. Clearly it suffices to show the following two statements:

- (1) If $(X_{i+1}, L_{i+1,p})$ satisfies Property N_{p+1} , then so does $(X_i, L_{i,p})$.
- (2) $(X_2, L_{2,p})$ fails to satisfy Property N_{p+1} .

For (1), consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{i+1}}(-X_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{i+1}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \rightarrow 0$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \wedge^m M_{i+1,p} \otimes L_{i+1,p}^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{i+1}}(-X_i) &\rightarrow \wedge^m M_{i+1,p} \otimes L_{i+1,p}^j \\ &\rightarrow \wedge^m M_{i+1,p} \otimes L_{i+1,p}^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_i} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

In the derived cohomology long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^1(X_{i+1}, \wedge^m M_{i+1,p} \otimes L_{i+1,p}^j) &\rightarrow H^1(X_i, \wedge^m M_{i+1,p} \otimes L_{i+1,p}^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_i}) \\ &\rightarrow H^2(X_{i+1}, \wedge^m M_{i+1,p} \otimes L_{i+1,p}^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{i+1}}(-X_i)) \rightarrow \dots, \end{aligned}$$

$H^1(X_{i+1}, \wedge^m M_{i+1,p} \otimes L_{i+1,p}^j) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq p + 2$ and all $j \geq 1$ since $(X_{i+1}, L_{i+1,p})$ satisfies Property N_{p+1} . Also $H^2(X_{i+1}, \wedge^m M_{i+1,p} \otimes L_{i+1,p}^j \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_{i+1}}(-X_i)) = 0$ for $1 \leq m \leq p + 2$ and all $j \geq 1$. Therefore $(X_i, L_{i,p})$ satisfies Property N_{p+1} . For (2), let C_0 be the minimal section of X_2 and consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_2}(-C_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow 0.$$

Then by the same way one can prove that if $(X_2, L_{2,p})$ satisfies Property N_{p+1} , then so does (C, L_C) where $L_C = L_{2,p}|_{C_0}$ is the restriction of $L_{2,p}$ to C_0 . But $\deg(L_C) = 2g + 1 + p$ and hence L_C does not satisfy Property N_{p+1} since C is a hyperelliptic curve. See [8]. Therefore $(X_2, L_{2,p})$ doesn't satisfy Property N_{p+1} . $\square$

Remark 5.1. When X is an anticanonical rational surface, i.e., X is a rational surface and $-K_X$ is effective, Mukai's conjecture is true. See Theorem 1.23 and Example 1.15 in [9]. Indeed the examples in which Mukai's conjecture is optimal is also classified by their results. Let $A_i \in \text{Pic}X$ be ample line bundles and assume that $K_X + A_1 + \cdots + A_{p+4}$ fails to satisfy Property N_{p+1} . Then $K_X^2 = 1$, $-K_X$ is ample and $A_1 = \cdots = A_{p+4} = -K_X$. This can be easily checked by using Theorem 1.3 and Proposition 1.10 in [9]. $\square$

REFERENCES

- [1] David C. Butler, *Normal generation of vector bundles over a curve*, J. Differ. Geom., 39 (1994), 1-34.
- [2] David Eisenbud, *The Geometry of Syzygies*, New York, forthcoming book(2004)
- [3] D. Eisenbud, M. Green, K. Hulek and S. Popescu, *Restriction linear syzygies: algebra and geometry*, math.AG/0404516.
- [4] L. Ein and R. Lazarsfeld, *Syzygies and Koszul cohomology of smooth projective varieties of arbitrary dimension*, Inv. Math. 111 (1993), 51-67.
- [5] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties I*, J. Differ. Geom., 19 (1984), 125-171.
- [6] M. Green, *Koszul cohomology and the geometry of projective varieties II*, J. Differ. Geom., 20 (1984), 279-289.
- [7] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld, *On the projective normality of complete linear series on an algebraic curve*, Inv. Math. 83 (1986), 73-90.
- [8] M. Green and R. Lazarsfeld, *Some results on the syzygies of finite sets and algebraic curves*, Compositio Mathematica, 67 (1988), 301-314.
- [9] F. J. Gallego and B. P. Purnaprajna, *Some results on rational surfaces and Fano varieties*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 538(2001), 25-55
- [10] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic Geometry*, no. 52, Springer-Verlag New York (1977)
- [11] Sijong Kwak and Euisung Park, *Some effects of property N_p on the higher normality and defining equations of nonlinearly normal varieties*, math.AG/0401026, to appear in J. Reine Angew. Math.
- [12] G. Ottaviani and R. Paoletti, *Syzygies of Veronese embeddings*, Compositio Mathematica, 125 (2001), 31-37.
- [13] Euisung Park, *On higher syzygies of ruled surfaces*, math.AG/0401100
- [14] Elena Rubei, *On syzygies of Segre embeddings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc, 130, no 12, (2002), 3483-3493.
- [15] Elena Rubei, *A result on resolutions of Veronese embeddings*, math.AG/0309102.

EUISUNG PARK : SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, KOREA INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY, 270-43 CHEONGRYANGRI-DONG, DONGDAEMUN-GU, SEOUL 130-012, REPUBLIC OF KOREA,
E-mail address: puserdos@kias.re.kr